

1 **TITLE of the INVENTION**

2

3 Sense Amp Equilibration Device

4

5

6 **CROSS-REFERENCE to RELATED APPLICATIONS**

7

8 Not applicable.

9

10

11 **STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH and**
12 **DEVELOPMENT**

13

14 Not applicable.

15

16

17 **BACKGROUND of the INVENTION**

18

19 1. Field of the Invention

20

21 This invention relates to monolithic dynamic random access memory array circuitry and,
22 more particularly, to techniques for sense amp equilibration.

23

24 2. Description of the Prior Art

25

26 Monolithic dynamic random access memory (DRAM) devices are well known. Within a
27 DRAM, data is transported between sense amp and array on a complementary-logic
28 bitline. After a read or refresh cycle, the complementary-logic bitline pairs are equilibrated
29 to match each other, and biased to a predetermined voltage so they can be properly read
30 by their sense amp in a future cycle.

31

32 During a read or refresh cycle, a selected data cell is connected to its bitline, raising or
33 lowering the bitline from its bias voltage. Its sense amp then senses the small voltage
34 difference between the new bitline level and its bias level, and amplifies that difference to a
35 full logic one or logic zero level. In this way, the infinitesimal charge of a tiny memory cell
36 is captured and presented to the rest of the chip and consequently to the outside world.
37 The charge of the data cell is also refreshed by the amplified voltage level on the bitline.
38 The bitline equilibration and bias circuitry is commonly considered to be part of the sense
39 amp circuitry.

40

41 It is also known that circuit area, sometimes called "real estate", is at a premium. The
42 smaller a device can be made, the faster it is likely to be, and more economical to
43 manufacture. Consequently, semiconductor engineers and mask designers all over the
44 world perpetually strive to reduce circuit size in order to stay cost competitive.

45

46 In a DRAM device, the memory array by far takes the most real estate. Second to the
47 memory array in size are the sense amps and related circuitry. Even a small area reduction
48 for a single sense amp is multiplied across all the sense amps, and chip size consequently
49 can be significantly reduced, thus improving cost competitiveness.

50

51 Blodgett, in patent US 6,466,499 B1, herein incorporated by reference, shows typical
52 DRAM technology, and is state-of-the-art, indicated by its very recent issue date of Oct.
53 15, 2002. Conventional three-transistor sense amp equilibrate and bias circuits are shown
54 as elements **50a** and **50b** in **FIGs 1, 2, 4, 5A, 5B, and 5C**.

55

56 In Blodgett, equilibrate transistor **54** is gated by equilibrate signal **EQa** to short
57 complementary-logic bitlines **D0** and **D0*** together, thus equilibrating them. **EQa** also
58 gates bias transistors **56** and **58**, so that bias transistor **56** shorts bitline **D0** to node **Veq**,
59 and so that bias transistor **58** shorts bitline **D0*** to node **Veq**. **D0** and **D0*** are thus
60 biased to the voltage on node **Veq**.

61
62 For clarity and ease of comparison, in the present specification, prior art is represented in
63 the present application in **FIGS 1 and 2**. **FIG 1** is a typical prior art circuit schematic, and
64 **FIG 2** is that schematic represented in a layout. Because of the common and extensive use
65 in the industry of symmetry and reflected layouts for elegant design, four bitline pairs with
66 their relevant associated devices are shown in **FIG 2**.

67
68 In **FIGs 1 and 2**, **EQ** is the equilibrate signal gating equilibrate transistor **Q1**, and biasing
69 transistors **Q2** and **Q3**, **BL** and **BLn** are the complementary-logic bitlines, and the bias
70 voltage node is **Vbias**. When **EQ** is activated, equilibrate transistor **Q1** shorts **BL** and
71 **BLn** together (thus equilibrating them), and biasing transistor **Q2** shorts bitline **BL** to
72 biasing node **Vbias**, and biasing transistor **Q3** shorts bitline **BLn** to biasing node **Vbias**,
73 (thus biasing the bitlines).

74
75 Typical prior art as illustrated in **FIG 1** is deficient, in that with equilibrate transistor **Q1** in
76 the circuit, biasing transistors **Q2** and **Q3** are redundant with each other, wasting real
77 estate.

78
79 Further, as shown in **FIG 2**, the substrate contact for n-channels, referred to as **PPLUG**,
80 must be placed outside of the **QL** devices, thus increasing layout size. Also, the **Vbias**
81 contact needs to be placed outside of the source/drain of n-channel **Q3'** and **Q3''**, further
82 increasing the layout size, as represented by **L1**.

83
84 Zagar, in patent US RE35,825, herein incorporated by reference, is a prior art attempt to
85 avoid problems due to row-to-column shorts, and to reduce transistor count. There is no
86 equilibrate transistor, as that function is taken over by biasing transistors **QnA/QnB** being
87 activated simultaneously. As shown in Zagar **FIG 4**, four complementary-logic bitline
88 pairs **D1/D1*** through **D4/D4*** share a common current-limiting device **QL**. Each bitline
89 pair has biasing transistors **Q1A/Q1B** through **Q4A/Q4B**, connected in the conventional
90 way and gated by equilibrate node **EQ**. Instead of one current limiting device per bitline

91 pair, Zagar reduces area requirements by using one current limiting device **QL** per four
92 bitline pairs, which is gated permanently on, and biases the bitline pairs at the voltage on
93 node **DVC**.

94
95 Performance in Zagar is compromised because both **BLs** are shorted (equilibrated)
96 through two series n-channel transistors (**Q1A** and **Q1B**, for example), instead of through
97 a single transistor, thus doubling equilibrate time. Two series transistors of one width will
98 have half of the drive of a single transistor of the same width, hence slower equilibration
99 performance. The biasing speed is not as critical as the equilibrate speed. Zagar thus
100 reduces transistor count, but at the expense of slowing equilibration time.

101 102 103 **SUMMARY, OBJECTS, and ADVANTAGES of the INVENTION**

104
105 Disclosed herein is a new DRAM complementary-logic bitline equilibration and biasing
106 circuit, which has the distinct advantage of being implemented using less real estate than
107 prior art, thus lowering the manufacturing cost of the DRAM.

108
109 Specifically, this is accomplished by eliminating one of the bias transistors per bitline pair.
110 Said transistor has not been hitherto recognized as redundant.

111
112 This allows a smaller circuit layout which significantly reduces the area of sense amps and
113 related circuitry, while preserving identical control requirements and performance.

114 115 116 **DRAWING FIGURES**

117
118 List of Drawing Figures:
119

FIG 1 shows a schematic of typical prior art bitline pair equilibrate and bias circuitry.

FIG 2 shows a typical layout four bitline pairs using the circuit of **FIG 1**.

FIG 3 shows a schematic of the inventive bitline pair equilibrate and bias circuitry.

FIG 4 shows a layout of four bitline pairs using the inventive circuitry of **FIG 3**.

List of Reference Numerals:

BL is a logic-true side of a complementary-logic bitline pair.

BLn is a logic-inverse side of a complementary-logic bitline pair.

EQ is an equilibrate node/contact which carries an equilibrate signal and gates **Q1**, **Q2**, and **Q3**.

PPLUG is substrate contact for n-channels.

Q1 is an equilibrate transistor.

Q2 is a biasing transistor.

Q3 is a second biasing transistor.

QL is a current limiting device connecting biasing transistor(s) to **Vbias**.

Vbias is a biasing node/contact, holding a bias voltage between logic one and logic zero.

L1 is a representative length of a layout of the prior art circuit.

L2 is a representative length of a layout of the inventive circuit.

DESCRIPTION and OPERATION of the PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Please refer to **FIGs 3 and 4**. **FIG 3** is a circuit schematic of the inventive circuit, and **FIG 4** is that schematic represented in a layout. Because of the common and extensive use in

the industry of symmetry and reflected layouts for elegant design, four bitline pairs with their relevant associated devices are shown in **FIG 4**.

BL and **BLn** are bitlines in a typical complementary-logic bitline pair, within a typical DRAM chip. Transistors **Q1** and **Q2** and current limiting device **QL** function as bitline pair equilibrate and bias circuitry, the function of which is well-known in the art.

Equilibrate transistor **Q1** is gated by equilibrate node **EQ**, and is also connected to **BL** and **BLn**, so that when **Q1** is activated by **EQ**, **BL** and **BLn** are shorted together through **Q1**. Biasing transistor **Q2** is gated by equilibrate node **EQ**, and is also connected to **BL** and to the drain terminal of current limiting device **QL**, so that when **Q2** is activated by **EQ**, **BL** is shorted to **QL**. The source terminal of **QL** is connected to bias node **Vbias**. **QL** is a resistive device well known in the art, such as a long-L transistor, and its function is to limit current flow, so that if a bitline has a fault, **Vbias** is not depleted of charge and is still able to bias healthy bitlines.

Equilibrate signal **EQ** gates equilibrate transistor **Q1**, and biasing transistor **Q2**. When activated, equilibrate transistor **Q1** shorts **BL** and **BLn** together. And when activated, biasing transistor **Q2** shorts **BL** to biasing node **Vbias** through current limiting device **QL**. Because **Q1** and **Q2** are activated simultaneously, **BLn** is shorted to **BL**, which is shorted to **Vbias** (through current limiting device **QL**), thus simultaneously equilibrating and biasing both **BL** and **BLn**.

In all respects, the inventive is controlled the same as in prior art.

The difference between this circuit and prior art is that prior art uses two bias transistors, **Q2** and **Q3** (as shown in **FIG 1**), and the inventive circuit uses only **Q2** (as shown in **FIG 3**), requiring less circuit area. Those familiar with the art had not hitherto recognized that **Q2** and **Q3** are redundant with each other. Equilibration speed through **Q1** is identical to

prior art as shown in **FIG 1**, only now with reduced transistor count, and also better than Zagar.

Referring to inventive layout in **FIG 4** in comparison to typical prior art in **FIG 2**, it can be seen that the inventive elimination of **Q3** allows the shifting of bias node **Vbias**, into the space vacated by the source terminal of former **Q3'** and **Q3''** of prior art, in an interstitial and more compact fashion. **PPLUG** can now also be placed in the space vacated by the drain terminal of former **QL** and **QL'** of prior art, next to the **QL** devices instead of outside of them, as indicated by comparing **FIGs 2** and **4**.

The prior art layout of **FIG 2** has a representative length of **L1**. The inventive layout of **FIG 4** has a representative length of **L2**. Because of the interstitial layout of **Vbias** between **Q2'** and **Q2''**, and the n-channel substrate contact being next to the **QL** devices, the inventive circuit results in a more compact design, as indicated by **L2** being significantly smaller than **L1**, thus having reduced manufacturing cost.

For purposes of this application, in regard to layout, 'next to' refers to an orientation wherein an element can be placed between duplicate equilibrating circuitry blocks, allowing interstitial location between repeating blocks. This is illustrated in **FIG 4** of the invention, where **Vbias** is 'next to' **Q1'**, which allows for interstitial location of **Vbias** between repeating blocks, as evidenced by **Vbias** being interstitially located between **Q1'** and **Q1''**. This is in contrast to 'outside of', which refers to an orientation which cannot be interstitially oriented between repeating blocks, such as shown in **FIG 2** (prior art), where **Vbias** is located 'outside of' devices **Q3'** and **Q3''**.

This is also illustrated in **FIG 2**, where prior art **PPLUG** is located 'outside of' the **QL** devices, as compared to inventive **FIG 4**, where **PPLUG** is located 'next to' the **QL** devices.

209 **CONCLUSION, RAMIFICATIONS, and SCOPE**

210
211 While there is shown and described the present preferred embodiment of the invention, it
212 is to be distinctly understood that this invention is not limited thereto but may be variously
213 embodied to practice within the scope of the following claims.

214
215 For example, bias transistor **Q2** can be connected to **BL** rather than **BLn**. The equilibrate
216 circuitry can be used in other sense amps not connected to **BLs** but to a data path, for
217 example, so by 'bitline pair', a data path is also circumscribed. The inventive circuitry is
218 also valid, independent of location of isolation devices between the array and sense amps:
219 that is, the inventive circuitry is valid on either side of array isolation devices. Adding a
220 two-terminal n-channel device in place of the three-terminal n-channel device **Q3** to
221 balance **BL** and **BLn** more closely will still be within the scope of the invention. Also,
222 current limiting device **QL** may or may not be required, depending on overall circuit
223 design of the chip. So when the **Vbias** node is indicated connected to a biasing voltage
224 circuit, a current limiting device may or may not be a part of that biasing voltage circuit. In
225 this case, **PPLUG** can then be located 'next to' **Vbias**, rather than **QL**, resulting in the
226 same inventive intent of reducing circuit length.

227
228 Clearly, other layouts may be conceived which express the reduced real estate
229 requirements of the inventive circuitry. Such layouts are expressions of the inventive
230 circuitry and are therefore within the scope of this invention. Also, though the above
231 description discloses many details, these details should not be understood to limit the
232 current invention. Obvious variations such as a minor change in logic design, addition of
233 passive devices, or a modified scheme for writing and reading data, while making use of
234 the structures, functions, or methods of the current invention, would fall within the scope
235 of the patent rights claimed by the inventor. Therefore the scope of the invention should
236 be limited only by the appended claims and their legal equivalents.